CORRESPONDENCE

To the Editor:

In the July 1994 issue of *Metabolism*, Metsärinne et al presented data on the effect of insulin on endothelin-1 (ET-1) secretion in human cultured endothelial cells. They confirmed previous reports obtained in cultured bovine, porcine, human, and hybrid EA.hy 926 endothelial cells, which showed that insulin stimulates the release of this endothelium-derived vasoconstrictive peptide in a dose-dependent fashion.

Surprisingly, Metsärinne et al showed that hyperinsulinemia was not associated with increased plasma ET-1 levels in healthy subjects in vivo. Indeed, they infused insulin at rates of 0, 20, 60, or 400 mU/m² · min⁻¹ in 23 healthy volunteers, maintaining plasma glucose concentrations of approximately 5.0, 9.0, 14.0, and 22 mmol/L, and demonstrated no significant change in plasma venous ET-1 levels after 120 minutes of each insulin infusion. Metsärinne et al claimed that their findings would need to be reconciled with the above-cited study by Hu et al,² who described a fourfold increase in plasma ET-1 concentration after subcutaneous abdominal implantation of an insulin pellet (Linplant, Toronto, Canada) in both healthy Sprague–Dawley male rats and Sprague–Dawley male rats made diabetic by a 50-mg/kg streptozocine injection.

The study by Metsärinne et al is an elegant piece of work, but the results could be interpreted in a different manner. Indeed, the most important reconciliation should be found with two other reports in humans, the first by Wolpert et al⁵ and the second by our group,6,7 both of which showed a significant increase in plasma venous ET-1 concentrations after a 2-hour euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp in humans (20 mU/m² · min⁻¹ insulin infused in 7 obese females according to an open design and 40 mU/m² · min⁻¹ infused in 16 non-insulin-dependent diabetic males according to a single-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled design, respectively). To further support the role of insulin as a contributor to ET-1 regulation in vivo, Wolpert et al⁵ also studied changes of plasma fasting insulin and ET-1 concentrations after weight loss due to caloric restriction. Interestingly, they showed that circulating ET-1 concentrations decrease with weight reduction in parallel with changes in insulin levels. Moreover, the reduction in basal plasma ET-1 levels with weight loss correlated strongly with the reduction in fasting insulin levels (r = .92, P < .01). Following these results, little doubt may be raised on the existence of an insulin-ET-1 relationship in vivo, and we suggest that the experimental design of the study by Metsärinne et al1 may have revealed some other important aspects in this field.

Indeed, reading into the lines of their interesting report, it seems that only a few subjects (n = 6) received insulin under euglycemic

conditions (5 mmol/L), while most subjects received insulin during mildly (n = 6) or even markedly hyperglycemic conditions (n = 11). In this context, it should be noted that D-glucose inhibits ET-1 release from cultured porcine3 and human8 endothelial cells. Furthermore, the inhibitory effect of D-glucose on ET-1 secretion becomes evident only for the highest molar concentrations (from 27.5/L mmol to 55 mmol/L in both studies), ie, at concentrations very close to those reached by Metsärinne et al1 during their clamp studies. On the other hand, analyzing data obtained by the same authors during euglycemic hyperinsulinemia, it appears evident that circulating ET-1 concentrations increased in six subjects from levels of 3.8 \pm 0.4 pg/mL at time 0 to 5.0 \pm 0.5 pg/mL after 120 minutes of insulin infusion $(20 \text{ mU/m}^2 \cdot \text{min}^{-1})$. The mean percentage insulin-induced increment of plasma ET-1 levels was of 131%, ie, very close to the 150% ET-1 increase (P < .001) obtained by the authors after stimulation of cultured human endothelial cells with insulin. Therefore, it does not seem arbitrary to propose that the data reported by Metsärinne et al, at least in vivo, may simply reflect the small dimension of the study population in the euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp studies, as well as the opposite effects on ET-1 release (ie, stimulatory v inhibitory) that were exerted simultaneously by insulin and glucose during hyperglycemichyperinsulinemic clamp studies.

We are convinced the conclusion made by Metsärinne et al1 at the end of their elegant work is correct, and that "the role of insulin in the regulation of ET-1 production in vivo remains unsettled." However, we think that a larger study population under euglycemic conditions will result in a evident increment of plasma ET-1 levels during insulin infusion, as suggested by the Metsärinne data, and we are convinced that data obtained by the same authors under hyperglycemic-hyperinsulinemic conditions indicate that the relationship between insulin and ET-1 in vivo needs a general rethinking under the light of the glucose-ET-1 interrelation. Obviously, we cannot exclude a more simple explanation for the discrepancies existing among the data from Metsärinne et al, Wolpert et al, and ourselves, ie, that the vascular endothelium might have different ET-1 secretory responses in patients affected by non-insulin-dependent diabetes and obesity compared with young healthy non-insulin-resistant men. This hypothesis needs confirmation by studies comparing insulin-resistant subjects with healthy subjects.

> Claudio Ferri, MD Giancarlo De Mattia, MD University "La Sapienza" Rome, Italy

REFERENCES

- 1. Metsärinne K, Saijonmaa O, Yki-Jrvinen, et al: Insulin increases the release of endothelin in endothelial cell cultures in vitro but not in vivo. Metabolism 43:878-882, 1994
- 2. Hu R, Levin ER, Pedram A, et al: Insulin stimulates production and secretion of endothelin from bovine endothelial cells. Diabetes 42:351-358, 1993
- 3. Hattori Y, Kasai K, Makamura T, et al: Effects of glucose and insulin on immunoreactive endothelin-1 release from cul-
- tured porcine aortic endothelial cells. Metabolism 40:165-169, 1991
- 4. Ferri C, Piccoli A, Properzi G, et al: Insulin induces endothelin-1 release from human cultured endothelial cells. J Hypertens 12:s705, 1994 (suppl 3)
- 5. Wolpert HA, Steen SN, Istfan NW, et al: Insulin modulates circulating endothelin-1 levels in humans. Metabolism 42:1027-1030, 1993

690 CORRESPONDENCE

- 6. Ferri C, Carlomagno A, Baldoncini R, et al: Circulating endothelin-1 levels increase during euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp in lean non-insulin-dependent diabetic men. Diabetes Care (in press)
 - 7. Ferri C, Bellini C, Laurenti O, et al: Insulin modulates

circulating endothelin-1 levels in humans. Am J Hypertens 7:69A, 1994

8. Ferri C, Pittoni V, Piccoli A, et al: Insulin stimulated endothelin-1 release in vitro and modulates its circulating levels in vivo. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (in press)

REPLY

To the Editor:

Drs Ferri and De Mattia suggest that our finding of unchanged plasma ET-1 levels during hyperinsulinemic clamp (performed at four glucose concentrations: ~5, 9, 14, and 22 mmol/L) in 23 healthy men may be due to the small number of subjects and concomitant inhibitory effect of glucose on ET-1 release during the hyperglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamps. As described in the Methods section,1 the 23 volunteers were divided into four groups, which received insulin at infusion rates of 0 (n = 6), 20 (n = 6), 60(n = 6), and $400 (n = 5) \text{ mU/m}^2$ for 120 minutes. Then, each of the patients participated in four studies, in which the insulin dose for a given patient was always the same, but the glucose concentration varied as specified above. Thus, under euglycemic conditions (and also during three levels of hyperglycemia), a total of 17 patients (6 + 6 + 5) received insulin as specified above. No significant effect of insulin on plasma ET-1 levels during euglycemia was found (analysis of variance followed by t test). The result, therefore, cannot be explained by a small study population. In addition, we measured plasma ET-1 from numerous other subjects during euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp studies and did not find any stimulatory effect of insulin (unpublished results).

The question whether glucose participates in the regulation of ET-1 in vivo or in vitro is an interesting one. In vitro, both increased² and decreased³ release of ET-1 have been reported. In our study, we found no effect of glucose on(1) plasma ET-1 levels in vivo and (2) ET-1 release from human umbilical cord vein endothelial cells in vitro. Neither did hyperglycemia modify the stimulatory effect of insulin on ET-1 release in vitro.

We agree with the proposition of Drs Ferri and De Mattia that

the function of vascular endothelium in healthy men as compared with patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes and obesity may be different. At least diabetes has been shown to damage endothelium. Endothelial dysfunction, which may be defined as an imbalance between endothelial production of vasodilating and vasoconstrictive agents, may involve increased production rate of ET-1, but we feel confident that insulin does not increase ET-1 concentrations in vivo.

Kaj Metsärinne
Outi Saijonmaa
Hannele Yki-Järvinen
Frej Fyhrquist
Minerva Institute for Medical Research
and
Helsinki University Central Hospital
Helsinki, Finland

REFERENCES

- 1. Metsärinne K, Saijonmaa O, Yki-Järvinen H, et al: Insulin increases the release of endothelin in endothelial cell cultures in vitro but not in vivo. Metabolism 43:878-882, 1994
- 2. Yamauchi T, Ohnaka K, Takayanagi R, et al: Enhanced secretion of endothelin-1 by elevated glucose levels from cultured bovine aortic endothelial cells. FEBS Lett 267:16-18, 1990
- 3. Hattori Y, Kasai K, Nakamura T, et al: Effect of glucose and insulin on immunoreactive endothelin-1 release from cultured porcine aortic endothelial cells. Metabolism 40:165-169, 1991